

DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1003-0972.2012.03.002

On Weakly-Abelian Rings

WAN Ling-yu*

(College of Science, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou 310036, China)

Abstract: Weakly-abelian rings were investigated. A series of new characterizations and properties on this kind of rings were given and some known results were generalized. The relations between weakly-abelian rings and other classes of rings were discussed.

Key words: weakly-abelian rings; abelian rings; idempotent elements; Jacobson radicals

CLC number: O153.3 **Article character:** A **Article ID:** 1003-0972(2012)03-0285-04

关于弱 Abel 环

宛凌宇*

(杭州师范大学 理学院, 浙江 杭州 310036)

摘 要: 对弱 Abel 环进行了研究, 得到了一系列新的性质和刻划, 推广了已知的结果, 并讨论了弱 Abel 环与其他环类之间的关系.

关键词: 弱 Abel 环; Abel 环; 幂等元; Jacobson 根

中图分类号: O153.3 **文献标志码:** A **文章编号:** 1003-0972(2012)03-0285-04

0 Introduction

Throughout this paper, all the rings are associative rings with an identity. Let $E(R)$, $C(R)$, $N(R)$ and $J(R)$ denote the set of all idempotents, the center of R , the set of all nil element of R and the Jacobson radical of R , respectively. For any subset X of R , $l(X)$ and $r(X)$ denote the left annihilators and right annihilators of X respectively. We call a ring R an abelian ring if $E(R) \subset C(R)$. It is well known that R is abelian if and only if $eR(1-e) = 0$ for each $e \in E(R)$. Many authors have generalized abelian rings to larger classes of rings. Yu^[1] induced quasi-duo ring and Chen^[2] induced semiabelian ring. A ring R is called left (right) quasi-duo ring, if every maximal left (right) ideals are ideals, and quasi-duo ring is the ring which is both left and right quasi-duo ring. A

ring R is called semiabelian if $eR(1-e) = 0$ or $(1-e)Re = 0$ for each $e \in E(R)$. Abelian rings are quasi-duo rings and semiabelian rings, while the converse is not true. According to Ref. [3], a ring R is said to be weakly-abelian if $eR(1-e) \subset J(R)$ for each $e \in E(R)$, it is also proved that abelian ring, quasi-duo rings and semiabelian rings are weakly-abelian, and gave examples to show that the converse is not held.

Since weakly-abelian rings contain a larger class of rings having extensive properties, we continue the study of weakly-abelian rings in this paper. We introduce the subset $A_J(R) = \{a \mid ea \equiv a(1-e) \pmod{J(R)} \text{ for some } e \in E(R)\}$ of R , with which to give some new characterizations of weakly-abelian rings. Many known results can be obtained as corollaries.

Received date: 2011-11-22; **Revised date:** 2012-02-24; * . **Corresponding author**, E-mail: shuhunwly2006@163.com

Foundation item: The Creative Seed of Hangzhou Normal University

Biography: WAN Ling-yu(1987-), male, born in Langfang, Hebei Province, Master, engaged in non-commutative ring theory.

1 Main Results

Definition 1 A ring R is called weakly-abelian if $eR(1-e) \subset J(R)$ for every $e \in E(R)$.

Let $A_J(R)$ be the subset $\{a \mid ea \equiv a(1-e) \pmod{J(R)}, \exists e \in E(R)\}$ in R .

Theorem 1 The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :

- (1) R is weakly-abelian;
- (2) $A_J(R) \subset J(R)$;
- (3) For each $a \in R, ea = a(1-e)$ for some $e \in E(R)$ implies $a \in J(R)$.

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2). For each $a \in A_J(R), ea = e(ea) \equiv ea(1-e) \equiv 0 \pmod{J(R)}$ since R is weakly-abelian. Similarly,

$$(1-e)a \equiv 0 \pmod{J(R)}.$$

Thus, $a = ea + (1-e)a \in J(R)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). For each $a \in R, ea = a(1-e)$ for some $e \in E(R), a \in A_J(R) \subset J(R)$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Let $a = er(1-e)$, then $ea = a(1-e)$, thus $a \in J(R)$. Therefore R is weakly-abelian. \square

Theorem 2 The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :

- (1) R is weakly-abelian;
- (2) $ae \in J(R)$ implies $ea \in J(R)$ for each $e \in E(R), a \in A_J(R)$;
- (3) $ea \in J(R)$ implies $ae \in J(R)$ for each $e \in E(R), a \in A_J(R)$;
- (4) $aRe \subset J(R)$ for each $e \in E(R), a \in A_J(R)$;
- (5) $eRa \subset J(R)$ for each $e \in E(R), a \in A_J(R)$;
- (6) $ae \in J(R)$ implies $eaRe \subset J(R)$ for each $e \in E(R), a \in A_J(R)$;
- (7) $ea \in J(R)$ implies $eRae \subset J(R)$ for each $e \in E(R), a \in A_J(R)$;
- (8) For each $e \in E(R), re \in J(R)$ implies $erA_J(R)e \subset J(R)$;
- (9) For each $e \in E(R), er \in J(R)$ implies $eA_J(R)re \subset J(R)$.

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9) is clear by Theorem 1. It is suffice to show (2), (4), (6), (8) \Rightarrow (1), and we can

get (3), (5), (7), (9) \Rightarrow (1) similarly.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). For each $e \in E(R), r \in R$, let $a = er(1-e) \in A_J(R), 0 = ae \in J(R)$,

then $ea = er(1-e) \in J(R)$. Thus R is weakly-abelian.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). For each $a \in A_J(R), ae, a(1-e) \in J(R)$. Then $a = ae + a(1-e) \in J(R)$.

(6) \Rightarrow (1). For each $e \in E(R), r \in R$, let $a = er(1-e) \in A_J(R), 0 = ae \in J(R)$, then $er(1-e)Re \subset J(R)$, thus $eR(1-e)Re \subset J(R)$. By [1, Theorem 1.2.1], R is weakly-abelian.

(8) \Rightarrow (1). For each $e \in E(R), r \in R, er(1-e)e = 0 \in J(R)$, and for each $r_1 \in R$,

$$(1-e)r_1e \in A_J(R).$$

Then, $er(1-e)r_1e \in J(R)$, thus

$$eR(1-e)Re \subset J(R).$$

By [1, Theorem 1.2.1], R is weakly-abelian. \square

Let $N_J(R) = \{r \mid r^2 \in J(R)\}$. It is clear that $N(R) \subset N_J(R)$, and if $N_J(R) \subset J(R)$, then R is weakly-abelian, which can be obtained as a corollary of the next theorem:

Theorem 3 The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :

- (1) R is weakly-abelian;
- (2) $aN_J(R)e \subset J(R)$ for each $e \in E(R), a \in A_J(R)$;
- (3) $eN_J(R)a \subset J(R)$ for each $e \in E(R), a \in A_J(R)$;
- (4) $rN_J(R)e \subset J(R)$ for each $e \in E(R), r \in R$;
- (5) $eN_J(R)r \subset J(R)$ for each $e \in E(R), r \in R$.

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) to (5) is clear by Theorem 1. It is suffice to show (2), (4) \Rightarrow (1), and we can get (3), (5) \Rightarrow (1) similarly.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). For each $a \in A_J(R)$, let

$$ea \equiv a(1-e), e \in E(R),$$

$$a(1-e)re \in aN_J(R)e \subset J(R)$$

for each $r \in R$. Then $0 \equiv a(1-e)re \equiv eare$. By Theorem 4, R is weakly-abelian.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). For each $a \in A_J(R), e \in E(R)$, we have $aN_J(R)e \subset J(R)$. Clearly, R is weakly-abelian. \square

Corollary 1 The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :

- (1) R is weakly-abelian;

(2) $aN(R)e \subset J(R)$ for each $e \in E(R)$, $a \in A_J(R)$;

(3) $eN(R)a \subset J(R)$ for each $e \in E(R)$, $a \in A_J(R)$;

(4) $rN(R)e \subset J(R)$ for each $e \in E(R)$, $r \in R$;

(5) $eN(R)r \subset J(R)$ for each $e \in E(R)$, $r \in R$.

Let $l_j(a) = \{b \mid ba \in J(R)\}$, $r_j(a) = \{b \mid ab \in J(R)\}$. Obviously, $l(a) \subset l_j(a)$, and $r(a) \subset r_j(a)$.

Theorem 4 The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :

(1) R is weakly-abelian;

(2) $al_j(a) \subset J(R)$ for each $a \in A_J(R)$;

(3) $r_j(a)a \subset J(R)$ for each $a \in A_J(R)$.

Proof It is clear that (1) \Rightarrow (2), (3). It suffice to show (2) \Rightarrow (1), and (3) \Rightarrow (1) can be got similarly. (2) \Rightarrow (1). For each $e \in E(R)$, $r \in R$, $(1-e) \in l(er(1-e))$, thus $er(1-e) \in al(a) \subset J(R)$. \square

Corollary 2 The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :

(1) R is weakly-abelian;

(2) $al(a) \subset J(R)$ for each $a \in A_J(R)$;

(3) $r(a)a \subset J(R)$ for each $a \in A_J(R)$.

Theorem 5 The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :

(1) R is weakly-abelian;

(2) $l_j(a) \subset r_j(a)$ for each $a \in A_J(R)$;

(3) $r_j(a) \subset l_j(a)$ for each $a \in A_J(R)$.

Proof It is suffice to show (2) \Rightarrow (1). For each $e \in E(R)$, $r \in R$, since $er(1-e) \in A_J(R)$, and $1-e \in l_j(er(1-e)) \subset r_j(a)$, thus

$$er(1-e) \in J(R).$$

Therefore R is weakly-abelian. \square

Corollary 3 The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :

(1) R is weakly-abelian;

(2) $l(a) \subset r(a)$ for each $a \in A_J(R)$;

(3) $r(a) \subset l(a)$ for each $a \in A_J(R)$;

(4) $l(a) = r(a)$ for each $a \in A_J(R)$;

(5) $l_j(a) = r_j(a)$ for each $a \in A_J(R)$.

Theorem 6 The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :

(1) R is weakly-abelian;

(2) $abc \in J(R)$ implies $bac \in J(R)$ for each a ,

$b, c \in A_J(R)$;

(3) $ab \in J(R)$ implies $ba \in J(R)$ for each $a, b \in A_J(R)$;

(4) $ab \in J(R)$ implies $aRb \subset J(R)$ for each $a, b \in A_J(R)$.

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2), (2) \Rightarrow (3). Clearly.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Let $ab \in J(R)$, then $bar \in J(R)$ for each $r \in R$, thus $arb \in J(R)$.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). For each $e \in E(R)$, $r \in R$, let $a = er(1-e)$, then $a^2 = 0 \in J(R)$, and $aRa \subset J(R)$, then $a = er(1-e) \in J(R)$. Therefore, R is weakly-abelian. \square

Next as the applications of weakly-abelian properties, we will discuss the relations among weakly-abelian rings and other rings. According to Cohn^[4], R is called reversible if $ab = 0$ implies $ba = 0$ for each $a, b \in R$. According to Lembek^[5], R is called symmetric if $abc = 0$ implies $bac = 0$ for each $a, b, c \in R$. Anderson-Camillo^[6] used the term ZC_2 and ZC_3 to denote reversible rings and symmetric rings respectively. It is well known that R is symmetric if and only if $r_1 r_2 \cdots r_n = 0$ implies $r_{\sigma(1)} r_{\sigma(2)} \cdots r_{\sigma(n)} = 0$ for any permutation σ over the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \in R$ (Krempa^[7]). R is semi-commutative if $ab = 0$ implies $aRb = 0$ for each $a, b \in R$. And R is called reduced ring if $a^2 = 0$ implies $a = 0$ for each $a \in R$. Reduced rings are symmetric, symmetric rings are clearly reversible, reversible rings are semi-commutative, and semi-commutative rings are abelian (Huh^[8]).

Theorem 7 Let R be a ring with $N_J(R) \subset A_J(R)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) R is weakly-abelian;

(2) $R/J(R)$ is reduced;

(3) $R/J(R)$ is symmetric;

(4) $R/J(R)$ is reversible;

(5) $R/J(R)$ is semi-commutative.

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2), (2) \Rightarrow (3), (3) \Rightarrow (4), (4) \Rightarrow (5), clearly. It is suffice to show (5) \Rightarrow (1).

For each $e \in E(R)$, $e(1-e) = 0 \in J(R)$, thus $eR(1-e) \subset J(R)$. Therefore, R is weakly-abelian. \square

Recall that a ring R is called semiabelian, if $eR(1-e) = 0$ or $(1-e)Re = 0$ for each $e \in E(R)$. Then we can get a characterization of abelian rings:

Theorem 8 The following statements are equivalent for a ring R :

(1) R is abelian;

(2) R is semiabelian, and $aRe = 0$ implies $eRa = 0$ for each $e \in E(R)$, $a \in A_j(R)$;

(3) $eA_j(R)(1-e) = 0$ for each $e \in E(R)$.

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2). Clearly.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). If there exists $e \in E(R)$, $a \in A_j(R)$, $ea(1-e) \neq 0$, then $ea(1-e)Re = 0$ and therefore $eeea(1-e) = ea(1-e) \in eRea(1-e) = 0$ by hypothesis, a contradiction. Hence

$$eA_j(R)(1-e) = 0.$$

(3) \Rightarrow (1). For each $e \in E(R)$, $eR(1-e) = e(eR(1-e))(1-e) \subset eA_j(R)(1-e) = 0$. Hence R is abelian. \square

Theorem 9 Let R be a ring with $N_j(R) \subset A_j(R)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) R is weakly-abelian;

(2) $Rb + R(ba - 1) = R$ for each $a \in N_j(R)$, b

$\in R$;

(3) For each maximal left ideal M , $a \in N_j(R)$ implies $Ma \subset M$.

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $a \in N_j(R)$, $b \in R$. Suppose $Rb + R(ba - 1) \neq R$, then there exists a maximal left ideal M such that $Rb + R(ba - 1) \subset M$. By Theorem 5, $a \in N_j(R) \subset J(R) \subset M$, then $ba \in M$. Since $ba - 1 \in Rb + R(ba - 1) \subset M$, then $1 \in M$, a contradiction. Therefore, $Rb + R(ba - 1) = R$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). If there exist a maximal left ideal M and $a \in N_j(R)$ such that $Ma \not\subset M$, then $M + Ma = R$, and there exist $m, n \in M$ such that $m + na = 1$. By (2), $R = Rm + Rn \subset M$, which is a contradiction. Therefore the conclusion follows.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). If R is not weakly-abelian, then $eR(1-e) \in J(R)$, so there exists a maximal left ideal M and $r \in R$ such that $Mer(1-e) \subset M$. Note that $er(1-e) \in N_j(R)$, by (3), $Mer(1-e) \subset M$, which is a contradiction. Hence, R is weakly-abelian. \square

References:

- [1] Yu H P. *On quasi-duo rings* [J]. Glasgow Math J, 1995, 37: 21-31.
- [2] Chen W X. *On semiabelian π -regular rings* [J]. Intern J Math Sci, 2007, 23: 1-10.
- [3] Wei J C. *Some applications of idempotents in ring theory* [D]. Yangzhou: Yangzhou University, 2010.
- [4] Cohn P M. *Reversible rings* [J]. Bull London Math Soc, 1999, 31: 641-648.
- [5] Lambek J. *On the representation of modules by sheaves of factor modules* [J]. Canad Math Bull, 1971, 14(3): 359-368.
- [6] Anderson D D, Camillo V. *Semigroups and rings whose zero products commute* [J]. Comm Algebra, 1999, 27(6): 2847-2852.
- [7] Krempa J, Niewieczeral D. *Rings in which annihilators are ideals and their application to semigroup rings* [J]. Bull Acad Polon Sci Ser Sci Math Astronom Phys, 1977, 25: 851-856.
- [8] Huh C, Lee Y, Smoktunowicz A. *Armendariz rings and semicommutative rings* [J]. Comm Algebra, 2002, 30(2): 751-761.

责任编辑: 郭红建

(上接第284页)

参考文献:

- [1] Pei H S. *Equivalences, α -semigroups and α -congruences* [J]. Semigroup Forum, 1994, 49: 49-58.
- [2] Pei H S. *Regularity and Green's relations for semigroups of transformations that preserve an equivalence* [J]. Communications in Algebra, 2005, 33: 109-118.
- [3] Pei H S. *On the rank of the semigroup $T_E(X)$* [J]. Semigroup Forum, 2005, 70: 107-117.
- [4] Pei H S, Sun L, Zhai H C. *Green's relations for the variants of transformation semigroups preserving an equivalence relation* [J]. Communications in Algebra, 2007, 35: 1971-1986.
- [5] Pei H S, Zhou H J. *Abundant semigroups of transformations preserving an equivalence relation* [J]. Algebra Colloquium, 2011, 18: 77-82.
- [6] Howie J M. *Fundamentals of semigroup theory* [M]. London: Oxford University Press, 1995.
- [7] 裴惠生, 吴永福. 保持两个等价关系的夹心半群的格林关系和正则性 [J]. 信阳师范学院学报: 自然科学版, 2008, 21(2): 161-164.
- [8] 裴惠生, 卢凤梅. 一个变换半群的同余 [J]. 信阳师范学院学报: 自然科学版, 2011, 24(3): 281-286.

责任编辑: 郭红建